ATTACHMENT K - REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE ST. LUCIE PUBLIC SCHOOLS ITB 25-25 WELDING SERVICES

FOR: C2 Welding Inc. (Name of Vendor Requesting Reference)
This form is being submitted to your Company for completion as a business reference for the company listed above.
This form is to be returned to the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, via email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org no later than 3:00 p.m., June 17, 2025 , and must not be returned to the company requesting the reference.
For questions or concerns regarding this form, please contact the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, by telephone: (772) 429-3980, or by email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org. When contacting us, please be sure to include the solicitation number and title listed at the top of this page.
Company Providing Reference K3 Directional Drilling
Contact Name and Title/Position_Lewis Krantz- Owner
Contact Telephone Number 772-284-0774
Contact Email Address_lewis@k3directionaldrilling.com
Questions: 1. In what capacity have you worked with this company in the past? If the Company was under a similar contract, please acknowledge and explain briefly whether or not the contract was successful.
Comments:
I have worked with this company on multiple projects. One of many successful collaborations involved them fusing and welding pipe components for my team at K3 Directional Drilling. Their work was completed on time with excellent craftsmanship, which made the installation process smooth and efficient. Their professionalism and reliability have made them a trusted partner on several jobs.
2. How would you rate this Company's knowledge and expertise?
Comments:
Their expertise and craftsmanship are outstanding, and they reliably deliver excellent results.
3. How would you rate the Company's flexibility relative to changes in the scope and timelines?
Comments:
They respond quickly to changes, communicate transparently, and remain dedicated to keeping the project progressing smoothly
4. What is your level of satisfaction with hard-copy materials, e.g. quotation, written scopes of work, reports, logs, etc. produced by the Company?

Comments:

5. How would you rate the dynamics/interaction be3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory		
Comments:		
The interaction between their team and ours has been well together. It's a good, cooperative dynamic.	great—commur	nication is smooth, and everyone works
6. Who were the Company's principle representatives i rate them individually? Would you comment on the skil based the rating? (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Ur	involved in provi ls, knowledge, b satisfactory; 0=	iding your service and how would you behaviors or other factors on which you Unacceptable)
Name: Austin Cole	Rating:	3
Name: Brent Cole	Rating:	3
Name:	Rating:	
Name:	Rating:	
Comments:		
The rating reflects their expertise and clear communica which helps keep things running smoothly on-site.	ition. They're pro	ofessional and pretty easy to work with,
7. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services a	are you most sa	atisfied?
Comments:		
They're professional, stay on schedule, and keep thing	gs well-coordina	ted.
8. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services a	are you least sa	tisfied?
Comments:		
I don't have any complaints—the working relationship gone beyond what I expected.	has been great,	and their service has always met or
9. Would you recommend this Company's services t	o your organiza	ation again?

Comments:

Definitely. They're dependable, professional, and consistently deliver quality work. I'd be happy to team up with them on future projects.